

FORM PCT 1390
REV. 5/93

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.

TRAPPE ET AL.-2 (PCT)

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

10/030865

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.
PCT/DE00/02000INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE
15 JUNE 2000PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED
19 JULY 1999

TITLE OF INVENTION

METHOD FOR PROCESSING SEISMIC DATA

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

HENNING TRAPPE ET AL.



Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371 (f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau)
 - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☒ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☐ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
 - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has **NOT** expired.
 - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☒ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information:

PCT/ISA/210 - Int'l. Search Report (English)
3 Sheets of formal drawingsApplicants Claim Priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 of German Application No. 199 33 717.9 filed July 19, 1999.
Applicants Claim Priority under 35 U.S.C. §120 of: PCT/DE00/02000 filed June 15, 2000.

APPLICATION NO. (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; margin-top: 10px;">10/030865</div>				INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/DE00/02000		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. TRAPPE ET AL.-2 PCT	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO.....\$890.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482)\$690.00 Neither international preliminary examination fee paid (37 CFR 1.82) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO.....\$1,040.00 International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4).....\$100.00 <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 5px;">ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</div>				CALCULATIONS		PTO USE ONLY	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ____ 20 ____ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).							
Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate				
Total Claims	10 - 20 =	- 0 -	X \$18.00	\$			
Independent Claims	1 - 3 =	- 0 -	X \$84.00	\$			
Multiple dependent claim(s) (if applicable)			+ \$280.00	\$			
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$ 890.00			
Reduction by 1/2 for Small Entity status.				\$ 445.00			
SUBTOTAL =				\$ 445.00			
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than ____ 20 ____ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$			
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$ 445.00			
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +				\$ 40.00			
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$ 485.00			
				Amount to be: refunded	\$		
				charged	\$		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims Small Entity status. a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$ 485.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed. b. <input type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. 03-2468 in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-2468. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.							
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.							
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576-1696 (516) 365-9802				 Signature			
EXPRESS MAIL NO. EL 871 451 084 US Date of Deposit January 14, 2002				Edward R. Freedman Reg. No. 26,048			
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10, on the date indicated above, and is addressed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202.							
 Lisa L. Vulpis							

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: HENNING TRAPPE ET AL. - 2 (PCT)
PCT NO.: PCT/DE00/02000
FILED: JUNE 15, 2000
TITLE: METHOD FOR PROCESSING SEISMIC DATA

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

BOX PCT

Ass't. Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Preliminary to the initial Office Action, please amend the
above-identified application as follows:

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Please add the attached Abstract of the Disclosure on a
separate page.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

On Page 1, above line 1, please insert the following
paragraphs:

--CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

Applicants claim priority under 35 U.S.C. §119 of German
Application No. 199 33 717.9 filed July 19, 1999. Applicants
also claim priority under 35 U.S.C. §120 of PCT/DE00/02000 filed

June 15, 2000. The international application under PCT article 21(2) was not published in English.--

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 1-10 and replace them with new claims 11-20 as follows:

--11. A method for processing a seismic 3-D measurement data set consisting of a multitude of traces each being formed by a sequence of data points provided with amplitude values or acoustic impedances, in which based on a

- reference section conforming to a predetermined location and depth and comprising neighboring trace portions of several seismic traces,
- the similarity between the reference section and local sections of seismic data from the measurement data set is determined and
- a volume of data corresponding with the measurement data set with the determined similarity values assigned to each data point is created as the attribute.

12. The method according to claim 11, characterized in that the size of the reference section and the local sections comprises 3 to 7 data points per dimensional direction.

13. The method according to claim 11, characterized in that the local sections and/or the reference section are deformed according to a local preferred dip and preferred dip direction.

14. The method according to claim 13, characterized in that before the determination of the similarity between the reference section and local sections by an iterative determination of the similarity of neighboring trace portions that are shifted with respect to each other according to dip and dip direction, that specific dip and dip direction for the reference section and each local section is searched for, which results in the largest similarity of the trace portions from the reference section and each local section.

15. The method according to claim 13, characterized in that during the selection of the reference section, a search is carried out for the dip and dip direction exhibiting the largest similarity among the trace portions belonging to the reference section, whereby afterwards in the determination of the similarity between the reference section and local sections, the specific relative dip between the reference section and the local section conforming to the largest similarity is then determined in each case.

16. The method according to claim 13, characterized in that in addition to the data volume with the similarity values, a data

volume with the determined dip values and a further data volume with the determined values of the dip direction are formed.

17. The method according to claim 11, characterized in that the reference section is supplied by a well with ascertained lithological information.

18. The method according to claim 17, characterized in that the reference section is generated synthetically by convolving down a pre-selected 3-dimensional acoustic impedance distribution from the relevant well log with a representative wavelet.

19. The method according to claim 17, characterized in that the reference section is formed synthetically with the help of seismic 3-D modeling techniques from a geological model determined by lithological, petrophysical and/or structural parameters.

20. The method according to claim 11, characterized in that several reference sections, for example locations of drilled holes, are compared with the local sections, and thus several similarity values are calculated for each data point.--

REMARKS

By this Preliminary Amendment, the application has been amended to conform with U.S. practice, the cross-reference to

related applications has been inserted on page 1, claims 1-10 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 11-20 and an Abstract has been provided. No new matter has been introduced. Entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
HENNING TRAPPE ET AL.-2 (PCT)



COLLARD & ROE, P.C.
1077 Northern Boulevard
Roslyn, New York 11576
(516) 365-9802
erf:jc
Enclosure: Abstract

Allison C. Collard, Reg.No. 22,532
Edward R. Freedman, Reg.No. 26,048
Attorneys for Applicants

EXPRESS MAIL NO. **EL 871 451 084 US**
Date of Deposit **January 14, 2002**

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10, on the date indicated above, and is addressed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202.


Lisa L. Vulpis

3/PRTS

10/030865
531 Rec'd PCIT/PC 14 JAN 2002

METHOD FOR PROCESSING SEISMIC DATA

Description

The invention relates to a method for processing a seismic 3-D measurement data set comprised of a multitude of seismic traces each having a sequence of data points provided with amplitude values.

Seismic exploration methods are employed worldwide for the purpose of obtaining, in addition to information gained from drilled wells, additional knowledge about geological structures in the subsurface. Owing to the information obtained based on seismic data it is often possible to dispense with further cost-intensive exploration wells, or to limit their number to a minimum.

For seismic surveying of the subsurface, sensors (geophones/hydrophones) are employed, which are lined up successively (2-D seismology), and receive sound waves. Such waves are generated by a seismic source, for example by an explosive charge, by vibrator sources, or by airguns, and partly reflected back to the surface of the earth by the layers of the earth. The waves are registered on the surface by the sensors and recorded in the form of a time series. Such a time series represents the incoming seismic energy by amplitude variations. The time series is stored digitally and consists of uniformly arranged data points (samples),

which are characterized by the time and the associated amplitude value. Such a time series is referred to also as a seismic trace. The measurement sequence migrates across the region to be explored, so that a 2-D seismic profile is recorded with such a system.

The goal of the subsequent processing operation is the suppression of noise, for example by stacking or filtering. The results so obtained are vertical profiles in which amplitudes and traveltimes as well as attributes derived from the amplitudes are represented. Such profiles serve as the basis for the further geological interpretation. The geological layers can be observed on a profile by the lateral line-up of the amplitudes.

A three-dimensional data volume is obtained if the data are recorded not along a line but in an areal grid. In the case of the 3-D volume, an amplitude value is assigned to any desired point in the subsurface, the point being described, for example by Cartesian coordinates. The vertical direction is measured in time (sound traveltime).

The measured data are corrected, filtered and, if need be, converted in the course of the data processing. The result is a seismic volume in the form of a 3-D data set that represents the physical properties of the explored subsurface in a seismic image.

It is possible to extract from such a data set any desired sections such as, for example, vertical profiles, and horizontal maps of various subsurface depths, which are then interpreted by geophysicists and geologists in the further course of the exploratory operation. Since such an interpretation of the obtained seismic images substantially comprises a visual correlation, attempts have been made to automate such a subjective interpretation that is depending upon one or more interpreters.

A method for seismic data processing is known from WO 96/18915. In said method, a seismic 3-D volume is divided in a multitude of horizontal slices, which are vertically disposed one on top of the other and spaced from each other, whereby at least one slice is divided in a multitude of cells. In this connection, each cell comprises at least 3 portions of traces whereby the first and the second trace portions are arranged in a vertical plane in the direction of the profiling (= inline), and the third trace portion and the first trace portion are arranged in a vertical plane substantially perpendicular to the direction of the profiling (= crossline). A cross correlation is then carried out between each two trace portions in the two vertical planes. Such a cross correlation supplies inline and crossline values that are dependent on layer dip. Combination of these values in a cell yields a coherency value for the cell that is assigned to a data point of the

cell. The final result in turn is a 3-D data volume from which any desired sections can be extracted and displayed.

A method and a device for seismic data processing by means of the coherency characteristics are known from EP 0 832 442 A1, whereby in a manner similar to the method of the patent cited above, a seismic volume is divided in horizontal slices and the latter in turn are divided in cells. Said cells have the shape of cubes in the simplest case. Based on the trace portions present in the cells, which amount to at least two in each cell, a correlation matrix is formed as the sum of the differences between inner and outer products of the sets of values from the trace portions. The quotient formed by the highest Eigen value of the matrix and the sum of all Eigen values is then calculated as the measure for the coherency. The result is again a 3-D volume comprised of coherency values.

Furthermore, EP 0 796 442 A1 relates to a method and a device for seismic data processing in connection with which a coherency method based on a semblance analysis is carried out. Similar to the procedure of two methods described above, a seismic data volume is divided in at least one horizontal time slice and the latter is then divided in a multitude of three-dimensional analysis cells, whereby each cell comprises two predetermined lateral directions that are perpendicular to each other, and at least five seismic trace

portions that are arranged in the cell next to one another. A semblance value of the trace portions present in the cell is assigned in the respective cell to the corresponding data point. The semblance is in this context a known measure for the conformity between seismic trace portions. The dip and dip direction are determined in this context by scanning different layer dips and dip directions of the analyzed reflector for the best coherency. In addition to the semblance value, the calculated dip data are then displayed for each cell as well.

Furthermore, a method for determining physical properties of the subsurface is known from EP 626 594 A1. In said process, a comparison is carried out between a seismic reference trace recorded at a well location and a reference trace obtained synthetically from log data of a well. Modified synthetic seismograms are subsequently generated that are compared with the other seismic traces. However, only two trace segments are compared to each other, namely one trace segment of a seismic trace and one trace segment of a synthetically generated seismic trace. Lateral environments are consequently not taken into account.

Furthermore, an image processing method is known from the published DGMK (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Mineralölwissenschaft und Kohlechemie = German Society for Petroleum and Coal Chemistry) Conference Report [1996]

"Image Processing of Seismic Attributes and Geostatistics in the Upper carbon" by C. HELLMICH, H. TRAPPE and J. FERTIG. Said method permits a quantitative characterization of seismic representations and thus further interpretations of the lithology. Different image processing filters are employed to amplitude maps in this process, and the variations, or the continuity, respectively, of the amplitude values of the closer environment are quantified. Said filters represent 2-D multi-trace filters, which are used for taking the local environment around a data point into account. Operators employed for this purpose are the entropy and the dispersion, among others. Maps for the interpretation can be generated for the interpretation with all attributes. The quantities "entropy" or "dispersion" are in this respect measures that quantify the variations or continuities of the amplitude in the local environment.

It has to be emphasized in this context that only relative comparisons in the local environment of a data point are considered in the methods described above. Laterally continuous and gradually changing conditions of the surroundings, for example, are consequently not conspicuous in connection with said processing methods. Even in connection with the implementation according to claim 19 of WO 96/18915, only a relative similarity, i.e. a similarity based on the individual cell is determined first. The similarity values so calculated are compared with similarity values of a volume with a known hydrocarbon

reservoir that have been calculated in the same way, and the well location is determined in the newly investigated volume based on the comparison of the coherency values with the coherency values of the volume of the known hydrocarbon reservoir. However, only coherency values relatively determined in a local environment (cell) are included in the comparison in this case as well.

Therefore, the problem of the invention is to propose a method for seismic data processing by which the data are classified across a full volume of measured data according to absolute criteria.

Said problem is solved with a method as defined in claim 1.

If the geological conditions of the subsurface to be explored are known at a location in the region covered by the seismic data volume, for example based on information collected from a well, the similarity of the seismic signals in the entire volume of measured data is determined, using the signal on said location having the known geology. It is assumed in this context that similar geological conditions generate a similar seismic signal in order to transfer in this manner via the similarity determination the geological conditions known in the drilling location to other regions

as well, or to find such conditions again there as well, respectively.

It is important to the invention in this connection that the comparison of the local section viewed in each case is carried out with a predetermined reference section that consists of neighboring trace portions of a plurality of seismic traces as well. An absolute reference is created in this way to a reference pattern which, in addition to the temporal extension along a seismic trace (time series) comprises a lateral extension as well.

Therefore, probability statements with respect to geological conditions in the lateral direction can be supplied as well if lateral changes are taken into account in the pattern comparison based on the reference pattern. This means, therefore, that both lateral changes at small scales and, because of the absolute comparison based on a reference pattern, also changes over large distances can be recognized with high probability. However, owing to the absolute comparison with the reference pattern, it is possible also, furthermore, to detect structures that are gradually changing laterally on account of diminishing similarity or similarity increasing again.

The selection of the voluminous section thus offers the advantage that in addition to the vertical distribution of

the amplitude information as a characterizing quantity, the lateral change of the seismic signal is taken into account for characterizing the subsurface. It is scientifically explained that by virtue of the knowledge of the lateral change in the geology, it is possible to make statements with respect to the thickness of bodies of sand or to the sedimentologic environment. Motivated by such observations, the similarity of the local seismic data to the global reference is determined for the entire data volume. The dispersion of reference data and local data, for example, is a measure for such similarity, but a mean value-optimized semblance function based on the combined reference and local data is employed as well.

Overall, a classification of the data points of the seismic volume is thus obtained according to absolute criteria.

If the size of the reference section and the local sections is comprised of 3 to 7 data points per dimensional direction, a number of data points is provided that is adequate for the processing of the data, on the one hand. On the other hand, it is possible also to detect small-scale structures in the signal distribution with the help of the analysis. For example, hydrocarbon-bearing layers frequently have a vertical thickness in the seismic signal that is distinctly below 10 samples. It is important in this context

that an adequate number of neighboring traces are comprised in the considered sections as well for jointly taking into account in the comparison the lateral characteristic of the environment. So that laterally small-volume changes can be detected here as well, 10 data points at the most should be comprised in each lateral direction as well.

With a 3-D data set of measured data, which is preferably employed, the reference pattern section and the local sections are square stone-shaped sections of the seismic data at the respective location and depth in the simplest case. However, other voluminous forms of the sections are conceivable for 3-D data as well.

If the local sections and/or the reference section are deformed according to a locally preferred dip and preferred dip direction, the sections used for the analysis are adapted to the given geological conditions in a superior manner.

The locally preferred directions are determined, for example, in that, before the determination of the similarity between the reference section and the local sections by an iterative determination of the similarity of neighboring trace portions that are shifted with respect to each other according to dip and dip direction, that specific dip and dip direction for the reference section and each local

section is searched for, which results in the largest similarity of the trace portions from the reference section and from each local section.

Alternatively, the dip and dip direction also can be determined by searching during the selection of the reference section for the dip and dip direction exhibiting the largest similarity among the trace portions belonging to the reference section, whereby in the subsequent determination of the similarity between the reference section and local sections, the relative dip between the reference section and each local section corresponding with the largest similarity between the two sections is determined.

In connection with both alternative methods, in addition to the data volume with the similarity values found, a data volume having the determined dip values and a further data volume with the determined values of the dip direction are then additionally formed as the result.

The reference section is preferably selected at a well with ascertained lithological information, so that the geological conditions ascertained by the well may be transferred to corresponding areas of the analysed data volume; which exhibit a large similarity.

A reference section can be formed synthetically by convolving a pre-selected acoustic impedance, for example from the log of the respective well, with a representative wavelet if the quality of the seismic data at the well is impaired, for example due to proximity to a fault. By generating a detailed model, for example by means of geostatistical methods, it is possible to form a reference pattern with any desired complexity. Seismic modeling techniques such as, for example seismic ray tracing, are capable of generating on that basis a seismic reference pattern. This opens the possibility for searching for special situations, for example the pinchout of layers, or fault zones that may be significant to the exploration of reservoirs. This permits the generation of a reference pattern catalogue for 3-D structures. Such a catalogue can be used for assigning local seismic signal characteristics to geological characteristics such as petrological properties, depositional conditions, tectonic features etc. Such an assignment can be carried out for each point in the entire data network. Furthermore, the analysis permits optimization of the data used.

Owing to the fact that the data points are classified in accordance with the determined similarity values, it is possible to achieve an automatic assignment of certain subsurface regions of the analysed measured data set to a certain geological structure.

Owing to the fact that a number of reference sections, for example well locations are compared with the local sections, and a number of similarity values are thus calculated for each data point, it is possible to increase the reliability of the appraisal of the geological conditions in the analysed measured data set. With suitable classification, it is then possible to laterally assign structures that are similar to each other to certain geological conditions found in wells.

The method of the reference patterns described above can be employed also if an acoustic volume generated, for example by a seismic inversion method, is used instead of the seismic data set.

The invention is described by way of example in the following by reference to the attached figures, in which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic representation of a 3-D data volume with a local section.

FIG. 2 is a schematic representation of a 3-D data volume with an inclined local data section.

FIG. 3 shows a horizontal section along the interface of a layer from seismic data processed as defined by the invention; and

FIG. 4 is a horizontal section along another interface of a layer pertaining to the data according to FIG. 3.

FIG. 1 shows a schematic representation of a 3-D data volume 1 comprising a multitude of seismic traces, which are not shown explicitly. A square stone-shaped section 2 is shown in the data volume 1, with three time series in the form of the seismic trace portions 21, 22 and 23 being arranged by way of example on said section 2. The local data section 2 preferably comprises three to seven neighboring traces per lateral direction, for example 5 x 5 traces with a time length of 5 data points (samples) as well, which at a sampling rate of 4 ms thus conforms to a time slice of 20 ms.

FIG. 2 shows, by a schematic representation corresponding with FIG. 1, a "deformed" data section 2' in the 3-D data volume 1, which is provided also by way of example with three time series in the form of the seismic trace portions 21, 22, 23. The deformation of the local data section 2' reflects in this context the preferred dip 31 and the preferred dip direction 32 determined in the present location and depth range. In accordance with the preferred dip 31 and preferred dip direction 32, the data section shown in FIG. 1 has been formed in a parallelepiped shape.

FIG. 3 shows the result of a reference analysis as defined by the invention for a geological horizon with a constant lithology. A slice is cut for this purpose from the 3-D data set along said interface of the layer. Based on the well "a" with the ascertained lithological information, a cube-shaped reference pattern with 3 x 3 x 3 data points (samples) was selected in analogy to the section in FIG. 1.

The similarity of local data sections with the reference pattern section was then calculated in the vertical surroundings of the horizon to be analysed. The calculated similarity values are then assigned as attributes to the center point of the local data section viewed at the time, and each local data point that is of interest is taken into account, if necessary across the entire 3-D data set.

The map shows conformity to the highest degree, characterized by the very high similarity values close to 1. The determined similarity values can be related according to the gray scale gradation shown in FIG. 3 on the right. In the present example, it was possible to carry out a verification with the help of the reference well "b", which ascertained the same lithological features of the horizon, namely an anhydride. An exception is the northern part of the analysed region, which is shown in the upper left quarter of the map, which reflects the influences of a salt dome located in the overburden, which exerted a negative

influence on the quality of the data. Apart from this disturbed area, linear fault zones are visible.

On the other hand, FIG. 4 shows a less calm lithology for the same analysis region. The layer interface selected in the present case is assigned to a sandstone horizon which represents a potential reservoir for hydrocarbons. Similarity features were calculated based on a reference pattern section derived from the well "a", whereby, according to the gray scale gradation shown on the right, the similarity values are on a distinctly lower level than those in FIG. 3. While high similarity values are found as expected in the surroundings of well "a", differences appear toward the eastern part of the analysis region, which is shown on the map on the right. In said area of lower similarity, the well "b" encountered a dense sandstone that is not suited as a reservoir horizon. It has to be noted here that some of the fault zones visible in FIG. 3 can be recognized also in the range of said layer interface in FIG. 4.

List of Reference Symbols

- 1 3-D data set of measured data
- 2 Local data section
- 2' Local data section for dipping lithology

- 21 Seismic trace portion
- 22 Seismic trace portion
- 23 Seismic trace portion

- 31 Preferred dip
- 32 Preferred dip direction

- a Well
- b Well

- S Gray shade scale

Claims

1. A method for processing a seismic 3-D measurement data set consisting of a multitude of traces each being formed by a sequence of data points provided with amplitude values or acoustic impedances, in which based on a

- reference section conforming to a predetermined location and depth and comprising neighboring trace portions of several seismic traces,
- the similarity between the reference section and local sections of seismic data from the measurement data set is determined and
- a volume of data corresponding with the measurement data set with the determined similarity values assigned to each data point is created as the attribute.

2. The method according to claim 1, characterized in that the size of the reference section and the local sections comprises 3 to 7 data points per dimensional direction.

3. The method according to claim 1 or 2, characterized in that the local sections and/or the reference section are deformed according to a local preferred dip and preferred dip direction.

4. The method according to claim 3, characterized in that before the determination of the similarity between the reference section and local sections by an iterative determination of the similarity of neighboring trace portions that are shifted with respect to each other according to dip and dip direction, that specific dip and dip direction for the reference section and each local section is searched for, which results in the largest similarity of the trace portions from the reference section and each local section.

5. The method according to claim 3, characterized in that during the selection of the reference section, a search is carried out for the dip and dip direction exhibiting the largest similarity among the trace portions belonging to the reference section, whereby afterwards in the determination of the similarity between the reference section and local sections, the specific relative dip between the reference section and the local section conforming to the largest similarity is then determined in each case.

6. The method according to claims 3, 4 or 5, characterized in that in addition to the data volume with the similarity values, a data volume with the determined dip values and a further data volume with the determined values of the dip direction are formed.

7. The method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the reference section is supplied by a well with ascertained lithological information.

8. The method according to claim 7, characterized in that the reference section is generated synthetically by convolving down a pre-selected 3-dimensional acoustic impedance distribution from the relevant well log with a representative wavelet.

9. The method according to claim 7, characterized in that the reference section is formed synthetically with the help of seismic 3-D modeling techniques from a geological model determined by lithological, petrophysical and/or structural parameters.

10. The method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that several reference sections, for example locations of drilled holes, are compared with the local sections, and thus several similarity values are calculated for each data point.




Fig. 2




Fig. 1

2/3




Fig. 3

3/3



Fig. 4

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

METHOD FOR PROCESSING SEISMIC DATA

the specification of which (check only one item below):

- ☐ is attached hereto.
- ☐ was filed as United States application
Serial No. _____
on _____,
and was amended
on _____ (if applicable).
- ☒ was filed as PCT international application
Number PCT/DE00/02000
on JUNE 15, 2000,
and was amended under PCT Article 19
on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

PRIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION(S) AND ANY PRIORITY CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119:

COUNTRY (if PCT, indicate "PCT")	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 USC 119
GERMANY	199 33 717.9	19 JULY 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
			<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

(Application Number)

(Filing Date)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America that is/are listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in that/those prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

PRIOR U.S. APPLICATIONS OR PCT INTERNATIONAL APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE U.S. FOR BENEFIT UNDER 35 U.S.C. 120:

U.S. APPLICATIONS			STATUS (Check One)		
U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	U.S. FILING DATE		PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
PCT APPLICATIONS DESIGNATING THE U.S.					
PCT APPLICATION NO	PCT FILING DATE	U.S. SERIAL NUMBERS ASSIGNED (if any)			
PCT/DE00/02000	JUNE 15, 2000				

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (List name and registration numbers):

ALLISON C. COLLARD, Registration No. 22,532;

KURT KELMAN, Registration No. 18,628

EDWARD R. FREEDMAN, Registration No. 26,048;

FREDERICK J. DORCHAK, Registration No. 29,298

ELIZABETH COLLARD RICHTER, Registration No. 35,103

WILLIAM C. COLLARD, Registration No. 38,411

Send Correspondence to: COLLARD & ROE, P.C.
1077 Northern Boulevard
Roslyn, New York 11576

Customer No. 25889

Direct Telephone Calls to:
(name and telephone number)
(516) 365-9802

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME	FIRST GIVEN NAME	SECOND GIVEN NAME
	TRAPPE	HENNING	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
	ISERNHAGEN	GERMANY	GERMANY
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY
	BURGWEDELER STRASSE 89	D-30916 ISERNHAGEN	GERMANY
FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME	FIRST GIVEN NAME	SECOND GIVEN NAME
	HELLMICH	CARSTEN	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
	HANNOVER	GERMANY	GERMANY
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY
	KOLLENRODTSTRASSE 54	D-30163 HANNOVER	GERMANY
FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	FAMILY NAME	FIRST GIVEN NAME	SECOND GIVEN NAME
	FOLL	MARC	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP
	HANNOVER	GERMANY	GERMANY
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS	CITY	STATE & ZIP CODE/COUNTRY
	KOLLENRODTSTRASSE 54	D-30163 HANNOVER	GERMANY

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 201	SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 202	SIGNATURE OF INVENTOR 203
DATE	DATE	DATE
77.11.01	11.11.01	16.11.01

Hellmich

Foll